

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # \_\_\_\_\_

APP # 700159

### Agency Information

*(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)*

#### 1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name USFS - Stanislaus National Forest
- b. Organizational Unit
- c. Address 19777 Greenley Road
- e. City Sonora State CA Zip 95370
- f. Federal Id Number 20-9532367 DUNS Number
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and day) October-01
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- ☐ City ☐ County ☒ U.S. Forest Service
- ☐ U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District ☐ U.S. Bureau of Land Management ☐ Other Federal Agency
- ☐ Federally Recognized Native American Tribe ☐ Educational Institution ☐ Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only
- ☐ State Agency ☐ District

#### 2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

#### Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G08-02-19-R01	Restoration - American Camp	29,000	17,000	46,000
2	G08-02-19-R02	Restoration - Gargoyles	11,000	10,000	21,000
3	G08-02-19-R04	Restoration - Miwok Planning	41,000	18,000	59,000
4		TOTAL	81,000	45,000	126,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # \_\_\_\_\_

APP # 700159

### 3. Contact

a. Authorized Representative

Name	Susan Skalski					
Title	Forest Supervisor					
Mailing Address	19777 Greenley Road					
City	Sonora	State	ca	Zip	93570	
Telephone	(209) 532-3671 - 232			Fax	(209) 533-1890 - 232	
E-mail Address	sskalski@fs.fed.us					

b. Project Administrator

Name	Beth Martinez					
Title	Others					
Mailing Address	P.O. Box 100					
City	Mi-Wuk Village	State	CA	Zip	95346	
Telephone	(209) 586-3234 - 608			Fax	(209) 586-0643 - 608	
E-mail Address	bethmartinez@fs.fed.us					

c. Project Administrator

Name	Chuck James					
Title	OHV Specialist					
Mailing Address	P.O. Box 100					
City	Mi-Wuk Village	State	CA	Zip	95346	
Telephone	(209) 586-3234 - 635			Fax	(209) 586-0643 - 635	
E-mail Address	cjames01@fs.fed.us					

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # \_\_\_\_\_

APP # 700159

**A. Location Map**

Attachments:

[Stanislaus National Forest Location Map](#)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # \_\_\_\_\_

APP # 700159

**A. Equipment Inventory**

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) ☒ Yes ☐ No  
years? (Please select Yes or No)

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number
1	Snowmobile	POLARIS	600 RMK	2009	SN1PK6KS79C683379	OR-2-ST-64
1	Snowmobile	POLARIS	600 RMK	2009	SN1PK6KS49C683386	OR-2-ST-64

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # \_\_\_\_\_

APP # 700159

**PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)**

**All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.**

**Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.**

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

**PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING**

**PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes**

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

**Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes**

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs

**PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species**

**Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP**

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?

**PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area**

**PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat**

**PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3**

**Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats**

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria

**PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4**

**Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program**

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

**PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5**

**Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management**

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

**PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results**

**PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6**

**Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results**

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?

**PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7**

**Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results**

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP

**PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8**

**Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns**

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern

--	--

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # \_\_\_\_\_

APP # 700159

**A. Soil Conservation**

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

**B. Soil Conservation Plan**

Attachments:

[STF Soil Conservation Plan \(SCP\)](#)



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # \_\_\_\_\_

APP # 700159

**A. Public Notification Efforts**

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/02/2009]
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/02/2009]
- ☒ Published in Newspaper
- ☒ News Release Issued
- ☐ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

**B. Public Comments**

A total of eight public comment letters were received in response to the STF Ground Operations Grant application. Comments were received from OHV enthusiasts (both individuals and organized groups) and conservation/wild use organizations. All comments were generally supportive of the grant application with some parties requesting specific actions to be taken in the Crandall area of the Mi-Wok District. One party offered support only if the funding request level stayed the same or increased. If the funding request level decreases, support of the grant application from one individual is no longer offered.

In addition, preliminary grant review comments were received from the OHMVR Division. In general, comments suggested that instead of requesting solely planning funds for two restoration projects (with implementation funding requested later), the application reflect restoration projects with both planning and implementation funding requested at this time.

**C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments**

- a. Were changes made to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

Public comments received in response to the STF Ground Operations Grant application changed the grant as follows:

- 1) The final GO grant application emphasized route and dispersed campsite maintenance efforts in the Crandall Staging area. A restroom facility development project has been submitted under two separate, additional sources of funding (national stimulus funds and Resource Advisory Grant Funds).
- 2) The final overall grant increased in equipment, patrol time, and routine maintenance reflective of public comments.
- 3) Preliminary application planning grants were further expanded and developed with the final submittal changed to include additional restoration efforts (both planning and implementation funds requested on two projects (Restoration - Mi-Wok & Restoration - Groveland) rather than solely planning funds being requested).

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # \_\_\_\_\_

APP # 700159

## 1. Applicant Certifications

### A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following ☒ terms and conditions:
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
  2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
  3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
  4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
  5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
  6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

### B. Programmatic Conditions

#### B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:

The Stanislaus National Forest is currently going through a Forest wide Travel Management planning process. Once complete, all routes available for OHV use will be designated as such. With clear maps and designations (including types of vehicles and seasons of use), users will have better information available regarding where legal use may take place. Implementation of the final decision should reduce illegal and unauthorized use through quality maps, information, improved signing, education, and routine patrols. Public education in restoration areas will provide for a better understanding of sensitive resources and the need for protection in those areas.

2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

The Stanislaus National Forest currently has 95 miles of designated OHV route, along with some trailhead facilities (restrooms, kiosks, etc.). Operations and maintenance needs of these facilities are being met through a variety of resources and in a variety of ways. Agency funding allocations allow for some routine maintenance of signing and cleaning of restroom facilities. Routine patrols of the facilities (using recreation/OHV technicians, fire prevention technicians, and law enforcement personnel) allow for visitor contacts/public education. Contacts provide enforcement of State and Agency OHV laws and regulations, information and education, public safety and resource protection. On-the-ground routine trail maintenance is performed in cooperation with OHV user groups, clubs, and individual volunteers.

### C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

**D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)**

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)



Yes



No

**2. Governing Body Resolution**

**3. Land Manager Authorization**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # \_\_\_\_\_ APP # 700159

## 1. OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

### 1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

- a. Does the land manager agency provide legal OHV riding opportunity? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

Starting (Month/Year) 10/2007 Ending (Month/Year) 09/2008

- b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity
- i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months) 12
- ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation 3370
- iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation 0
- iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days) 174080
- v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity 51.66

### 1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary (2)

- c. Reference Document that support the responses to a. and b. on previous page  
 USDA Forest Service National Visitor Use Monitoring Report, California State Parks Estimating the State Fuel Tax Paid on Gasoline Used in the Off-Highway Operation of Vehicles for Recreation Survey Results September 2006,
- d. Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12 51.66  
 Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score 3

## 2. Quality of OHV Opportunity

Land Manager's OHV program 12

Check all that apply (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution at no cost (2 points)
- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land Manager's website (2 points)
- ☒ Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail (2 points)
- ☒ Map indicates appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.) (2 points)
- ☒ At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities (restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures) (2 points)
- ☒ Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (2 points)

## 3. Variety of OHV Opportunity

- a. Skill levels (e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced) indicated by publicly available maps or signage marking trails with relative difficulty 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 3 or more skill levels (5 points) ☐ 2 skill levels (3 points)
- ☐ 1 skill level (1 point) ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

- b. Type of OHV Opportunity (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy) 6

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types (6 points)
- ☐ Opportunities for 2 vehicle types (3 points)
- ☐ Opportunity for only 1 vehicle type (1 point)
- ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

#### 4. Agency Contribution

Cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead): 177500

% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds): 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)
- ☐ 10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)
- ☐ 11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)
- ☒ 26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)
- ☐ More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)

Reference Document

Agency transaction registers documenting expenditures.

#### 5. Project Performance

For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)
- ☒ 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)
- ☐ Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished (No points)
- ☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)

#### 6. Previous Year Performance

In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit. 3

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit (3 points)
- ☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
- ☐ In the previous year the Applicant has not been responsive (No points)

#### 7. Prevention of OHV trespass

##### 7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Fence (Page 1)

- a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas is prevented? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (answer items b and c)
- ☐ Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)

Explain 'Yes' response:

##### 7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Patrol (Page 2)

- b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate) 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ At least 5 days per week (5 points)  
☒ At least once per week (3 points)  
☐ At least once per month (1 point)  
☐ Less than once per month (No points)

Explain patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel, percent of lands covered by patrols)

OHV Patrols are conducted on four Ranger Districts by 15 FPOs 5 to 7 days per week during the spring through fall high use season. Mi-Wok and Groveland conduct OHV patrols all year, specifically on Fri/Sat/Sun. Patrols by LEOs are conducted primarily on weekends and holidays. The typical work schedule for spring, summer, and early fall use is Wednesday through Monday with increased emphasis on weekends.

OSV winter patrol starts in early December and continues generally into late March depending on snow fall. Patrolling generally ceases after Easter when winter activities decline dramatically. Patrol schedules are generally Saturdays, Sundays and holidays.

OHV patrols are conducted on approximately 60% of the total land base within the boundaries of the Stanislaus National Forest where OHV use is permitted.

## 7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Measures (Page 3)

- c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas 5

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas (3 points)  
☒ Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)

Explain measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas

Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas focuses on signage and patrol of areas that are in the urban interface. OHV public education handouts and information is available at District Offices and addresses respecting private property. As resources allow for, an effort is made to improve FS/private land boundary line signing. As restoration projects are planned for and implemented, opportunities for visitor education regarding the restoration needed and the reasons for such restoration are considered and shared through on-site kiosk information signing during project implementation.

## 8. OHV Education

### 8 OHV Education - Page 1

- a. Education materials available onsite 10

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices (5 points)  
☒ Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation (5 points)

- b. Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices: 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 50 or more per year (3 points) ☐ 20 to 49 times per year (2 points)  
☒ 5 to 19 times per year (1 point) ☐ Less than 5 times per year (No points)

## 8. OHV Education - Page 2

- c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Daily (5 points) ☐ On all weekends (4 points)  
☐ On the majority of weekends (2 points) ☐ On major holidays (1 points)  
☐ None of the above (No points)

- d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are offered 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ Weekly (3 points) ☐ Monthly (1 point)  
☒ Less frequently than monthly (No points)

Describe Land Manager's onsite education efforts:

On site education efforts include personal contacts with visitors in the field as well as written materials available at trailhead kiosk sites. District visitor offices provide personal contacts and written materials as well.

## 9. Website

- a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Manager's website 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (skip to question 10) ☒ Yes (provide URL address and answer item b)

Provide URL address <http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/stanislaus/>

- b. The Land Manager's website contains the following items 5

(Check all that apply) - Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5 points. (Please select applicable values)

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map to location                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hours of operation  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Safety information       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Visitor facilities                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contact information | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> News releases            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on responsible riding | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map of Facilities   | <input type="checkbox"/> Fee schedule                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Seasonal restrictions                        | <input type="checkbox"/> Link to Division Website       | <input type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement contact information |

## 10. OHV Outreach

Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes: 3

Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points. (Please select applicable values)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Billboards                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CDs and/or DVDs |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community meetings  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OHV dealers     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fairs               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> News releases   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)                | <input type="checkbox"/> Television                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parades                        | <input type="checkbox"/> Radio                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Programs at schools |   |

## 11. Natural and Cultural Resources

### 11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 1

- a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native vegetation?  
0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ No (answer item b)

☐ Yes (5 points, explain and then skip to item 12)

Explain 'Yes' response

## 11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 2

### b. Resource Management Information System 5

Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains at least the following:

- Ongoing survey/inventory of species
- Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites
- Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations
- Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the species;
- Recommendations for improvement in species management
- Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction of species? (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Reference Document

Motorized Travel Management - Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Little Polecat Enduro Environmental Assessment/Decision Memos, Habitat Management Plan

## 12. Soil Management

### 12. Soil Management - Page 1

#### a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response Routine trail condition surveys.

#### b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response The Forest addresses soil issues using the following reports and plans: 2007 Stanislaus National Forest Soil Conservation and Monitoring Plan (submitted in 2007 grant request), USFS Region 5 Report- A Field Evaluation of the Use of Small Trail Tractors to Maintain and Construct OHV Trails on National Forests in California, USFS Region 5 soil monitoring protocol from the 1991 Soil Conservation Standards and Guidelines for Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Management, and 1998 Stanislaus National Forest Motor Vehicle Travel Management Forest Plan Amendment Monitoring and Evaluation Plan.

### 12. Soil Management - Page 2

#### c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)



☐ Monthly (3 points)

☐ After major rain events (2 points)

☒ Annually (No points)

**13. Sound Level Testing**

The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing 4

(Check only one if applicable) (Please select one from list)

☒ On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)

☐ At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)

☐ Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)

Describe the sound testing program

Agency OHV Technician and OHV Volunteers carry sound testing meters in their vehicles. Sound testing is performed randomly during routine patrols, generally on weekends. Sound testing is mandatory for all OHV event participants.